

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | FI       | LING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|----------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/677,006 09/30/2003 |          | 09/30/2003    | Wei Gao              | SLA0805             | 7274             |
| 27518                 | 7590     | 10/31/2005    |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| SHARP LA              | BORAT    | ORIES OF AMEI | LOKE, STEV           | LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN |                  |
| 5750 NW PA            | CIFIC RI | IM BLVD       |                      |                     |                  |
| CAMAS, WA 98642       |          |               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                       | •        |               |                      | 2811                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Ef- |
|-----|
| Ef- |

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments   | 10/677,006  | GAO ET AL.                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Steven Loke   | 2811                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ms  | arch 2005.  |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  |   | secution as to the merits is |  |  |  |  |  |
| • •  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.                                      |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.                            |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are allowed.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are rejected.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | nriority under 35 H S C & 110(a)  | -(d) or (f)                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   | priority under 35 0.5.5. § 115(a)   | -(a) or (i).                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·—_ ·—   | have been received  |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | on No                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No        |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | o) [_] Other  |                              |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/677,006

Art Unit: 2811

1. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

Claim 11, lines 2-3, the phrase "niobium oxide" is unclear as to what kind of niobium oxide is it being referred to. Is it being referred to niobium monoxide?

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Sundaram et al.

In regards to claim 10, Suzuki discloses a MOSFET gate structure in the figure. It comprising: a high-k gate dielectric (BaTiO<sub>3</sub>) [4] overlying a substrate [1]; a conductive metal-monoxide (ZnO) layer (col. 4, lines 3-5) overlying the gate dielectric [4].

Suzuki differs from the claimed invention by not showing the work function of the metal-monoxide layer is between approximately 4.1 eV and 4.4 eV (i.e., any values between and close to 4.1 eV and 4.4 eV, e.g., between 4.05 eV and 4.46 eV).

Sundaram et al. show the work function of the metal-monoxide (ZnO) layer is 4.45eV (See CONCLUSION in page 676).

Since both Suzuki and Sundaram et al. teach a ZnO layer for use in a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to have the ZnO layer of Sundaram et al. in Suzuki because it is a high electrical conducting material.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,006 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

## 4. Claims 1-9 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (571) 272-1657. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:20 am to 5:50 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sl October 27, 2005

Steva Loke